

Article

# On Matrices Arising in the Finite Field Analogue of Euler's Integral Transform

Michael Griffin <sup>1</sup>, and Larry Rolen <sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup> Dept. of Math & CS, Emory University, 400 Dowman Dr., W401 Atlanta, GA, USA 30322

\* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed; mjgrif3@emory.edu, larryrolen@gmail.com

Version January 15, 2013 submitted to *Mathematics*. Typeset by L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X using class file mdpi.cls

1     **Abstract:** In his 1984 Ph.D. thesis, J. Greene defined an analogue of the Euler integral  
 2     transform for finite field hypergeometric series. Here we consider a special family of  
 3     matrices which arise naturally in the study of this transform and prove a conjecture of Ono  
 4     about the decomposition of certain finite field hypergeometric functions into functions of  
 5     lower dimension.

6     **Keywords:** hypergeometric series; finite fields; Euler integral transform

**1. Introduction and Statement of Results** In his 1984 Ph.D. thesis [1], Greene initiated the study of hypergeometric functions over finite fields which are in many ways similar to the classical hypergeometric functions of Gauss. To define these functions, first let  $A$  and  $B$  be two multiplicative, complex-valued characters of  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  extended to  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by  $A(0) = B(0) = 0$  and let  $\binom{A}{B}$  be the normalized Jacobi sum

$$\binom{A}{B} := \frac{B(-1)}{q} J(A, \bar{B}) = \frac{B(-1)}{q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} A(x) \bar{B}(1-x). \quad (1)$$

Here  $\bar{B}$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $B$ . Greene defined the *Gaussian hypergeometric function*  ${}_{n+1}F_n \left( \begin{matrix} A_0, & A_1, & \dots, & A_n \\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right)_p$  by

$${}_{n+1}F_n \left( \begin{matrix} A_0, & A_1, & \dots, & A_n \\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right)_p := \frac{q}{q-1} \sum_x \binom{A_0 \chi}{\chi} \binom{A_1 \chi}{B_1 \chi} \dots \binom{A_n \chi}{B_n \chi} \chi(x).$$

Here  $\sum_{\chi}$  denotes the sum over all characters of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . These functions have deep connections to certain combinatorial congruences of modular forms, as well as traces of Hecke operators and counting points on certain modular varieties [2]. For example, if we let  ${}_2E_1(\lambda) : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$  be the Legendre form elliptic curve ( $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ ), we have the following result whenever  $p \geq 5$  is a prime and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0, 1\}$  satisfies  $\text{ord}_p(\lambda(\lambda-1)) = 0$  [3]:

$${}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} \phi_p, & \phi_p \\ \epsilon & \lambda \end{matrix} \middle| x \right)_p = -\frac{\phi_p(-1) \cdot {}_2a_1(p; \lambda)}{p}.$$

7 Here  $\phi_p$  is the Legendre symbol modulo  $p$ ,  $\epsilon$  is the trivial character, and  ${}_2a_1(p; \lambda)$  is the trace of Frobenius  
8 of  ${}_2E_1(\lambda)$  at  $p$ . In analogy with the Euler integral transform for classical hypergeometric functions, it  
9 turns out that these Gaussian hypergeometric functions are traces of Gaussian hypergeometric functions  
10 of lower degree. More precisely, Greene proved the following fact:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{n+1}F_n \left( \begin{matrix} A_0, & A_1, & \dots, & A_n \\ B_1, & \dots, & B_n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right)_p \\ &= \frac{A_n B_n (-1)}{p} \sum_{y=0}^{p-1} {}_nF_{n-1} \left( \begin{matrix} A_0, & A_1, & \dots, & A_{n-1} \\ B_1, & \dots, & B_{n-1} \end{matrix} \middle| x \right)_p \cdot A_n(y) \overline{A_n} B_n (1-y). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

11 This transform is related to the modularity of other varieties as well. For example, Ahlgren and Ono  
12 relate special values of  ${}_4F_3$  hypergeometric functions to the coefficients of modular forms using the  
13 modularity of a certain Calabi-Yau threefold [4]. Thus, it is natural to consider the following matrix  
14 which plays the role of Euler's integral transform in an important special case.

**Definition.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Let  $q = p^n \geq 5$  and  $M_q$  be the  $(q-2) \times (q-2)$  matrix  $(a_{ij})$  indexed by  $i, j \in \mathbb{F}_q - \{0, 1\}$  where

$$a_{ij} = \phi_q(1-ij)\phi_q(ij).$$

15 Here  $\phi_q$  denotes the quadratic character in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Based on numerical data, Ono made the following  
16 conjecture.

**Conjecture (Ono).** Let  $f_q$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $M_q$ . Then

$$f_q(x) = \begin{cases} (x+1)(x-1)(x+2)(x^2-q)^{(q-5)/2} & \text{if } \phi_q(-1) = 1 \\ x(x^2-3)(x^2-q)^{(q-5)/2} & \text{if } \phi_q(-1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

17 Our main result is the following.

18 **Theorem 1.1.** *Ono's conjecture is true.*

19 *Remark* For the eigenvalues  $0, \pm 1, -2$ , we give explicit formulas for the eigenvectors (cf. Proposition  
20 2.1).

21 The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we establish the claimed formulas for the eigenvalues  $\lambda \in$   
22  $\{0, \pm 1, -2\}$  using Jacobi sums. In §3 we complete the proof of the main theorem by proving that  $(x^2 -$   
23  $q)^{\frac{q-5}{2}}$  divides the characteristic polynomial of  $M_q$  and that  $x^2 - 3$  divides the characteristic polynomial  
24 when  $\phi_q(-1) = -1$ .

25 **2. Eigenvectors for  $\lambda \in \{0, \pm 1, -2\}$**  The claimed formulas for the eigenvectors can be deduced using  
 26 the following well-known lemma which we prove for completion.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $a_2 \neq 0$ , then*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2) = \begin{cases} -\phi_q(a_2) & \text{if } a_1^2 \neq 4a_0a_2 \\ \phi_q(a_2)(q-1) & \text{if } a_1^2 = 4a_0a_2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Factor out  $a_2$  and complete the square to get

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2) = \phi_q(a_2) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q((x-a)^2 - b) = \phi_q(a_2) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(x^2 - b),$$

where  $a = -\frac{a_1}{2a_2}$  and  $b = \frac{a_1^2 - 4a_0a_2}{4a_2}$ . Then  $b = 0$  if and only if the discriminant is 0, in which case the sum is clearly  $\phi_q(a_2)(q-1)$ . If  $b \neq 0$ , then the change of variables  $y = x^2 - b$  gives

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(x^2 - b) = \sum_y \phi_q(y)(\phi_q(y+b) + 1) = \sum_y \phi_q(y)\phi_q(y+b).$$

Now replacing  $y$  by  $\frac{b}{2}(y-1)$  and making the change of variables  $z = 1 - y^2$  shows that

$$\sum_y \phi_q(y^2 + by) = \sum_y \phi_q(y^2 - 1) = \phi_q(-1) \sum_z \phi_q(z)(\phi_q(1-z) + 1) = \phi_q(-1)J(\phi, \phi) = -1.$$

27 This follows from the classical evaluation of  $J(\phi, \phi)$  (for example, see [5]). □

28 We are in position to prove the first case of Theorem 1.1 when  $\lambda \in \{0, \pm 1, -2\}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $\phi_q(-1) = 1$ , then  $\lambda \in \{\pm 1, -2\}$  are eigenvalues for the matrices  $M_q$ . If  $\phi_q(-1) = -1$ , then  $\lambda = 0$  is an eigenvalue for  $M_q$ . These eigenvalues have the following corresponding eigenvectors  $v = (v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q - \{0,1\}}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = -1, & & v_k &= -\phi_q(k) + 1, \\ \lambda = +1, & & v_k &= 2(\phi_q(k^2 - k) - \phi_q(k) - 1), \\ \lambda = -2, & & v_k &= \phi_q(k^2 - k) + \phi_q(k) + 1, \\ \lambda = 0, & & v_k &= -\phi_q(k^2 - k) + \phi_q(k) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We will give the full calculation for the eigenvalue  $\lambda = -1$  when  $\phi_q(-1) = 1$ . The other three cases follow similarly.

When  $\lambda = -1$ , we must check the formula

$$-v_k = \sum_{s \neq 0,1} \phi_q(1 - ks)\phi_q(ks)v_s.$$

29 Using the lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \neq 0,1} -\phi_q(1 - ks)\phi_q(k) + \sum_{s \neq 0,1} \phi_q(1 - ks)\phi_q(ks) &= \phi_q(k) + \phi_q(1 - k)\phi_q(k) - 1 - \phi_q(1 - k)\phi_q(k) \\ &= \phi_q(k) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

30 □

31 **3. Determining the  $\pm\sqrt{3}$  and  $\pm\sqrt{q}$  Eigenspaces** Here we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by  
 32 computing the remaining eigenvalues. We begin with the  $\pm\sqrt{3}$ -eigenvalues when  $\phi_q(-1) = -1$ .

33 **Proposition 3.1.** *If  $\phi_q(-1) = -1$ , then the characteristic polynomial of  $M_q$  is divisible by  $(x^2 - 3)$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the matrix  $M_q^2$  with entries  $b_{i,j}$ . Using the lemma, we find  $b_{i,j} = -(1 + \phi_q(ij) + \phi_q(i - i^2)\phi_q(j - j^2))$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $b_{i,i} = q - 3$ . By a similar calculation as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we find that  $v = (v_k), v' = (v'_k)$  are eigenvectors with eigenvalue 3 for  $M_q^2$ , where

$$v_k := 1 + \phi_q(k), \quad v'_k := 1 + \phi_q(k^2 + k).$$

This follows by verifying

$$3v_k = (q - 3)(1 + \phi_q(k)) - \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0,1,k\}} (1 + \phi_q(s))(1 + \phi_q(ks) + \phi_q(k - k^2)\phi_q(s - s^2)),$$

and

$$3v'_k = (q - 3)(1 + \phi_q(k^2 + k)) - \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0,1,k\}} (1 + \phi_q(s^2 + s))(1 + \phi_q(ks) + \phi_q(k - k^2)\phi_q(s - s^2))$$

34 for the vectors  $v$  and  $v'$  respectively. As the characteristic polynomial of  $M_q$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , we find that  $x^2 - 3$   
 35 divides the characteristic polynomial of  $M_q$ .  $\square$

36 We now finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

37 **Proposition 3.2.** *The characteristic polynomial of  $M_q$  is divisible by  $(x^2 - q)^{\frac{q-5}{2}}$ .*

38 *Proof.* We begin by defining the following matrix related to  $M_q$ . Let  $p, q$  be as above. Let  $\widetilde{M}_q =$   
 39  $(\phi_q(1 - ij))_{i,j \in \mathbb{F}_q}$  be a  $q \times q$  matrix indexed by values of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $M_q$  is a the conjugate of a sub-matrix  
 40 of  $\widetilde{M}_q$ . Suppose  $\widetilde{M}_q$  has an eigenspace of dimension  $d$ . Then this eigenspace has a subspace of dimension  
 41  $d - 2$  of eigenvectors  $(v_k)$  with  $v_0 = v_1 = 0$ . Thus it can be easily seen that  $M_q$  has an eigenspace of  
 42 dimension  $d - 2$  corresponding to the same eigenvalue. Using this fact, it suffices to prove that the  
 43 characteristic polynomial of  $\widetilde{M}_q$  is divisible by  $(x^2 - q)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ .

44 Consider the matrix  $\widetilde{M}_q^2 = \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(1 - ik)\phi_q(1 - jk) \right)_{i,j \in \mathbb{F}_q}$ . For each  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q - \{0, -1\}$ , let  
 45  $V_a = (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{F}_q}$  be a vector indexed by elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $v_a = 1, v_{-1} = -\phi_q(-a)$ , and  $v_i = 0$  for  
 46 all  $i \in \mathbb{F}_q - \{-1, a\}$ . Then if  $(u_i) = \widetilde{M}_q^2 V_a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u_i) &= \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{F}_q} v_j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(1 - ik)\phi_q(1 - jk) \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(1 - ik)\phi_q(1 - ak) - \phi_q(-a) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(1 - ik)\phi_q(1 + k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

47 Since  $a \neq 0, -1$ , by Lemma 1 we find

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= 0, \\ u_a &= q - 1 + \phi_q(-a)^2 = q, \\ u_{-1} &= -\phi_q(-a) - \phi_q(-a)(q - 1) = -q\phi_q(-a). \end{aligned}$$

48 For all other  $i$ , we have  $u_i = \phi_q(ia) - \phi_q(-a)\phi_q(-i) = 0$ . Hence  $V_a$  is an eigenvector for  $\widetilde{M}_q^2$  with  
 49 eigenvalue  $q$ .

50 We may also define  $V_0 = (v_i)$  so that  $v_0 = 1$ , and  $v_i = 0$  for all other  $i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Then if  $(u_i) = \widetilde{M}_q^2 V_0$ , we  
 51 have  $u_0 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(1) = q$ , and  $u_i = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi_q(1 - ik) = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ . Hence  $V_0$  is also an eigenvector  
 52 for the eigenvalue  $q$ . This gives us a total of  $q - 1$  linearly independent eigenvectors corresponding to  
 53 the eigenvalue  $q$ . Each eigenvalue (counting multiplicities) of  $\widetilde{M}_q^2$  is the square of an eigenvalue of  $\widetilde{M}_q$ .  
 54 Thus,  $\widetilde{M}_q$  has eigenvalues  $\pm\sqrt{q}$  of multiplicities that sum to  $q - 1$  and so  $M_q$  has eigenvalues  $\pm\sqrt{q}$  of  
 55 multiplicities summing to at least  $q - 5$ . By Lemma 1, we have that  $\text{Trace}(M_q) = -1 - \phi_q(-1)$ . But  
 56 we already know that the sum of all other eigenvalues is  $-1 - \phi_q(-1)$ . Hence, the multiplicities of the  
 57  $\pm\sqrt{q}$  eigenvalues must be equal.  $\square$

58 **Acknowledgements** The authors thank the National Science Foundation for its generous support,  
 59 and their advisor Ken Ono for his guidance and for improving the quality of exposition of the article.

## 60 References

- 61 1. Greene, J.R. *Character Sum Analogues For Hypergeometric And Generalized Hypergeometric*  
 62 *Functions Over Finite Fields*; ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1984; p. 133. Thesis (Ph.D.)–  
 63 University of Minnesota.
- 64 2. Ono, K. *The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q-series*; Vol.  
 65 102, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, Published for the Conference Board of  
 66 the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 2004; pp. viii+216.
- 67 3. Koike, M. Orthogonal matrices obtained from hypergeometric series over finite fields and elliptic  
 68 curves over finite fields. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **1995**, 25, 43–52.
- 69 4. Ahlgren, S.; Ono, K. A Gaussian hypergeometric series evaluation and Apéry number  
 70 congruences. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **2000**, 518, 187–212.
- 71 5. Ireland, K.; Rosen, M. *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, second ed.; Vol. 84,  
 72 *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1990; pp. xiv+389.

73 © January 15, 2013 by the authors; submitted to *Mathematics* for possible open ac-  
 74 cess publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license  
 75 <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>.