## Math 341 Lecture #27 §5.3: The Mean Value Theorem, Part II §5.4: A Continuous Nowhere Differentiable Function

Theorem 5.3.6 (L'Hospital's Rule: 0/0 case). Assume f and g are continuous functions defined on an interval containing a, and assume that f and g are differentiable on this interval (with the possible exception of a). If f(a) = 0 and g(a) = 0, then

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = L \implies \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L.$$

Proof. We get to start with assuming that

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = L$$

Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$  we have

$$\left|\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} - L\right| < \epsilon.$$

This says that f'(x)/g'(x) exists when  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ , so that  $g'(x) \neq 0$  when  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ .

By the Generalized Mean Value Theorem, with  $0 < h < \delta$ , we have the existence of  $c \in (a, a + h)$  such that

$$\frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} = \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{g(a+h) - g(a)}.$$

By hypothesis, we know that f(a) = 0 and g(a) = 0, so that

$$\frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} = \frac{f(a+h)}{g(a+h)}.$$

Since  $0 < c - a < a + h - a < h < \delta$ , we have

$$\left|\frac{f(a+h)}{g(a+h)} - L\right| = \left|\frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} - L\right| < \epsilon.$$

For x = a + h > a, we have  $0 < x - a = h < \delta$ , so that

$$\left|\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} - L\right| < \epsilon.$$

This says that

$$\lim_{x \to a^+} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L.$$

In a similar manner we obtain the other one-sided limit,

$$\lim_{x \to a^-} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L,$$

thus obtaining the desired result.

A Continuous Nowhere-Differentiable Function. We are going to construct a convergent series of functions whose limit function is a continuous nowhere differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

This may seems a pathological example, but it is more the norm than you think.

We start with the function h(x) = |x| on [-1, 1]. Here is the graph of h(x) on [-1, 1].



We extend this h(x) periodically to a function  $h_0(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by h(x+2) = h(x). Here is the graph of the  $h_0(x)$  on [0, 4].



The periodic function  $h_0(x)$  is even and satisfies  $|h_0(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . What does the graph of  $h_1(x) = (1/2)h_0(2x)$  look like? Here is this graph on [0, 4].



What does the graph of  $h_2(x) = (1/4)h_0(4x)$  look like? Here is this graph on [0, 4].



From these graphs, we see that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the even function

$$h_n(x) = (1/2^n)h_0(2^n x)$$

is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  whose graph consists of line segments of alternating slopes, and is not differentiable at points of the form  $p/2^n$  for integers p.

We are going to add the functions  $h_0(x)$ ,  $h_1(x)$ ,  $h_2(x)$ , etc. Here is the graph of  $h_0(x) + h_1(x)$  on [0, 4].



Do you see where this function is not differentiable? At the points 1/2, 3/2, 2, 5/2, 7/2, etc.

Here is the graph of  $h_0(x) + h_1(x) + h_2(x)$  on [0, 4].



Do you see where this functions is not differentiable? At the points 1/4, 3/4, 1, 5/4, 7/4, 2, etc.

Here is the graph of  $\sum_{n=0}^{3} h_n(x)$  on [0, 4].



Do you see where the function is not differentiable? At points of the form  $p/8 = p/2^3$  for integers p.

Here is the graph of  $\sum_{n=1}^{7} h_n(x)$  on [0, 4].



This function is not differentiable at points of the points  $p/2^7$  for integers p. The claim is that the infinite sum

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/2^n) h_0(2^n x)$$

is a continuous nowhere differentiable even function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

First thing to settle is that this infinite series actually defines a function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Recall that  $h_0(x)$  satisfies  $|h_0(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Thus  $h_0(2^n x)$  also satisfies  $|h_0(2^n x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ So for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{h_0(2^n x)}{2^n} \right| \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{1 - 1/2} = 2$$

by the geometric series.

It follows by the Absolute Convergence Test (Theorem 2.7.6) that g(x) is a convergent series for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and so g(x) is a properly defined function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Remember that this says that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the sequence of partial sums

$$g_m(x) = \sum_{n=0}^m h_n(x)$$

converges to g(x) as  $m \to \infty$ .

Because each function  $h_n$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , it follows for each  $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ , that the function  $g_m(x)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We will see later, in Chapter 6, that g(x) is continuous.

Appendix. We will deal here with the harder problem of showing that g is nowhere differentiable function.

Looking at the graph of  $g_7(x)$  above, it appears that g is not differentiable at any integer point c.

For simplicity we take c = 0, and consider the sequence  $x_m = 1/2^m$  for m = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...In the rise over run formulation of the derivative, we will need the value of g(0).

Since  $h_n(x) = (1/2^n)h_0(2^nx)$ , we have that  $h_n(0) = 0$  for all n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., and so g(0) = 0 as well.

We will find the value of

$$\frac{g(x_m) - g(0)}{x_m - 0} = 2^m g(x_m).$$

To do this we compute

$$g(x_m) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(x_m) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_0(2^n x_m)}{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_0(2^n/2^m)}{2^n}.$$

When  $n \ge m+1$ , then  $2^n/2^m = 2^{n-m}$  is an even integer, and so  $h_0(2^n/2^m) = 0$  for all  $n \ge m+1$ , hence that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_0(2^n/2^m)}{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{h_0(2^n/2^m)}{2^n}.$$

For  $0 \le n \le m$  we have that  $h_0(2^n/2^m) = h_0(2^{n-m}) = 2^{n-m}$ , so that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{h_0(2^n/2^m)}{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{2^{n-m}}{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{1}{2^m} = \frac{(m+1)}{2^m}.$$

We then have that

$$2^{m}g(x_{m}) = 2^{m}\left(\frac{m+1}{2^{m}}\right) = m+1.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{g(x_m) - g(0)}{x_m - 0} = \lim_{m \to \infty} (m+1) = \infty,$$

and so g is not differentiable at c = 0.

In a similar way the sequence  $x_m = -1/2^m$  yields

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{g(x_m) - g(0)}{x_m - 0} = -\infty$$

This shows that g(x) has a "cusp" at c = 0.

In a similar manner, one can show that g is not differentiable at c = 1, c = 1/2, and at  $c = p/2^k$  for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Points of the form  $p/2^k$  for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  are called *dyadic* points.

The dyadic points form a dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and so we then have that g(x) is not differentiable on this dense subset.

Now assume that x is not a dyadic point.

Then for each  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , the point x falls between two adjacent dyadic points,

$$\frac{p}{2^m} < x < \frac{p+1}{2^m}$$

for some  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For the sequences  $x_m = p/2^m$  and  $y_m = (p+1)/2^m$  we have  $x_m < x < y_m$  and  $x_m \to x$  and  $y_m \to x$ .

One can show for each m that  $g_m$  is differentiable at each non-dyadic x, and the sequence of derivatives  $(g'_m(x))$  satsifies

$$|g'_{m+1}(x) - g'_m(x)| = 1, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Specifically the sequence  $(g'_m(x))$  eventually alternates between -1 and 1.

If one can show that the inequalities

$$\frac{g(y_m) - g(x)}{y_m - x} \le g'_m(x) \le \frac{g(x_m) - g(x)}{x_m - x}$$

hold for all m, then it follows that when

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{g(y_m) - g(x)}{y_m - x}, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{g(x_m) - g(x)}{x_m - x}$$

both exist they can not have same value because the sequence  $(g'_m(x))$  eventually alternates between -1 and 1, keeping the two rise over runs apart from each other.

Now we show that the inequalities hold for all  $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ 

Recalling that  $h_0(x) = 0$  whenever x is an even integer, we have that

$$g(x_m) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_0(2^n x_m)}{2^n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_0(p2^{n-m})}{2^n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{h_0(p2^{n-m})}{2^n}$$
$$= g_m(x_m).$$

For x, the sequence  $g_m(x)$  is increasing because  $h_0 \ge 0$ , so that  $g_m(x) \le g(x)$  and hence  $-g(x) \le -g_m(x)$ .

Thus we have that

$$g(x_m) - g(x) = g_m(x_m) - g(x) \le g_m(x_m) - g_m(x).$$

Since  $x_m < x$ , then  $x_m - x < 0$ , so that

$$\frac{g(x_m) - g(x)}{x_m - x} \ge \frac{g_m(x_m) - g_m(x)}{x_m - x}.$$

The graph of the function  $g_m$  on the interval  $[x_m, y_m]$  is a straight line segment (in fact,  $g_m$  is a continuous piecewise linear function) so that

$$\frac{g_m(x_m) - g_m(x)}{x_m - x} = g'_m(x).$$

Thus we have that

$$g'_m(x) \le \frac{g(x_m) - g(x)}{x_m - x}.$$

In a similar way we get

$$\frac{g(y_m) - g(x)}{y_m - x} \le g'_m(x).$$

Thus g is not differentiable at the non-dyadic x, and so g is nowhere differentiable.