

Math 346 Lecture #34 12.4 Spectral Resolution

We begin by using the resolvent $R_A(z) = (zI - A)^{-1}$ of a linear operator $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to construct spectral projections for A .

Definition 12.4.1. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, let $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ and Γ be a positively oriented simple closed curve enclosing λ but no other elements of $\sigma(A)$. The spectral projection or eigenprojection of A associated with λ is defined to be

$$P_\lambda = \text{Res}(R_A(z), \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma R_A(z) dz.$$

Theorem 12.4.2. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the spectral projections P_λ , $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, have the following properties.

- (i) Idempotency: $P_\lambda^2 = P_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$.
- (ii) Independence: $P_\lambda P_{\lambda'} = 0 = P_{\lambda'} P_\lambda$ for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in \sigma(A)$ with $\lambda \neq \lambda'$.
- (iii) A -invariance: $AP_\lambda = P_\lambda A$ for all $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$.
- (iv) Completeness: $\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} P_\lambda = I$.

Proof. (i) Let Γ and Γ' be two positively oriented simple closed curves enclosing λ and no other elements of $\sigma(A)$.

WLOG we can assume that Γ is in the interior of Γ' .

By the definition of the winding number, we have for each $z \in \Gamma$ that

$$\oint_{\Gamma'} \frac{dz'}{z' - z} = 2\pi i \text{ and } \oint_\Gamma \frac{dz}{z' - z} = 0.$$

By path-independence of the contour integral we get two different expressions for the same spectral projection:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma R_A(z) dz = P_\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma'} R_A(z') dz'.$$

Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma R_A(z) dz \right) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma'} R_A(z') dz' \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \oint_\Gamma \left(\oint_{\Gamma'} R_A(z') dz' \right) R_A(z) dz \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \oint_\Gamma \oint_{\Gamma'} R_A(z) R_A(z') dz' dz, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $R_A(z)R_A(z') = R_A(z')R_A(z)$.

Using Hilbert's Identity $R_A(z) - R_A(z') = (z' - z)R_A(z)R_A(z')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\lambda^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \oint_\Gamma \oint_{\Gamma'} \frac{R_A(z) - R_A(z')}{z' - z} dz' dz \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \left[\oint_\Gamma R_A(z) \left(\oint_{\Gamma'} \frac{dz'}{z' - z} \right) dz - \oint_{\Gamma'} R_A(z') \left(\oint_\Gamma \frac{dz}{z' - z} \right) dz' \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma R_A(z) dz \\
&= P_\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

where we switched the order of integration (i.e., Fubini's Theorem) in the second equality.

This shows that each P_λ is an idempotent.

(ii) Let Γ and Γ' be two positively oriented simple closed curves in $\rho(A)$ enclosing λ and λ' respectively for which no other points of $\sigma(A)$ are enclosed by either curve.

Then for $z \in \Gamma$ and $z' \in \Gamma'$ we have

$$\oint_{\Gamma'} \frac{dz'}{z' - z} = 0 \text{ and } \oint_\Gamma \frac{dz}{z' - z} = 0.$$

The composition of the two spectral projections

$$P_\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma R_A(z) dz \text{ and } P_{\lambda'} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma'} R_A(z') dz'$$

is

$$P_\lambda P_{\lambda'} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \oint_\Gamma \oint_{\Gamma'} R_A(z) R_A(z') dz' dz.$$

By Hilbert's Identity and Fubini's Theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\lambda P_{\lambda'} &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \oint_\Gamma \oint_{\Gamma'} \frac{R_A(z) - R_A(z')}{z' - z} dz' dz \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \left[\oint_\Gamma R_A(z) \left(\oint_{\Gamma'} \frac{dz'}{z' - z} \right) dz - \oint_{\Gamma'} R_A(z') \left(\oint_\Gamma \frac{dz}{z' - z} \right) dz' \right] \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives the independence of the spectral projections.

(iii) By Lemma 12.3.5 part (iii), we have $AR_A(z) = R_A(z)A$ for all $z \in \rho(A)$.

This implies that

$$A \left[\oint_\Gamma R_A(z) dz \right] = \oint_\Gamma AR_A(z) dz = \oint_\Gamma R_A(z)A dz = \left[\oint_\Gamma R_A(z) dz \right] A.$$

Thus $AP_\lambda = P_\lambda A$.

(iv) Let Γ be a positively oriented circle centered at $z = 0$ and having radius $R > r(A)$.

Theorems 12.3.8 and 12.3.14 guarantee that the Laurent series

$$R_A(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{z^{k+1}}$$

holds along Γ .

By the interchanging of integration and uniform convergence of the Laurent series on compact sets such as Γ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} R_A(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{A^k}{z^{k+1}} dz = A^0 = I.$$

On the other hand, for positively oriented simple closed curves Γ_{λ} , $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, each Γ_{λ} enclosing λ and no other elements of $\sigma(A)$, we have by the Cauchy-Goursat Theorem and the appropriate cuts that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} R_A(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} \oint_{\Gamma_{\lambda}} R_A(z) dz = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} P_{\lambda}.$$

This gives the completeness of the spectral projections. □

Remark 12.4.3. The double integrals in the previous proof required Fubini's Theorem to switch the order of integration. Although we stated Fubini's Theorem for real-valued functions, it readily extends to Banach-space valued functions.

Example (in lieu of 12.4.4). Find the spectral projections for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall that the resolvent for this matrix is

$$R_A(z) = \frac{1}{(z-3)(z+1)} \begin{bmatrix} z-1 & 1 \\ 4 & z-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the partial fraction decompositions for the entries of $R_A(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z-1}{(z-3)(z+1)} &= \frac{1/2}{z-3} + \frac{1/2}{z+1}, \\ \frac{1}{(z-3)(z+1)} &= \frac{1/4}{z-3} + \frac{-1/4}{z+1}, \\ \frac{4}{(z-3)(z+1)} &= \frac{1}{z-3} + \frac{-1}{z+1}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the partial fraction decomposition

$$R_A(z) = \frac{1}{z-3} \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/4 \\ 1 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{z+1} \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/4 \\ -1 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall that we can express $1/(z - 3)$ as a geometric series in $(z + 1)$, and $1/(z + 1)$ as a geometric series in $(z - 3)$, giving the Laurent series of $R_A(z)$ about $z = 3$ and about $z = -1$.

From the partial fraction decomposition of $R_A(z)$ we have the spectral projections,

$$P_3 = \text{Res}(R_A(z), 3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/4 \\ 1 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$P_{-1} = \text{Res}(R_A(z), -1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/4 \\ -1 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

These spectral projection P_3 and P_{-1} are precisely the projections $P_i = \mathbf{r}_i \ell_i^T$ we computed in Lecture #31 by way of right eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{r}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{r}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

of A , and the left eigenvectors

$$\ell_1^T = \frac{1}{4} [2 \quad 1], \quad \ell_2^T = \frac{1}{4} [2 \quad -1]$$

of A that satisfy $\ell_i^T \mathbf{r}_j = \delta_{ij}$.

We already have seen that these projections are idempotent, independent, commute with A , and sum to I .

Example. Find the spectral projections for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall from Lecture #31 that the resolvent for this matrix is

$$R(z) = \begin{bmatrix} (z - 6)^{-1} & (z - 6)^{-2} & 7(z - 6)^{-2}(z - 4)^{-1} \\ 0 & (z - 6)^{-1} & 7(z - 6)^{-1}(z - 4)^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & (z - 4)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the partial fraction decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{7}{(z - 6)^2(z - 4)} &= \frac{-7/4}{z - 6} + \frac{7/2}{(z - 6)^2} + \frac{7/4}{z - 4}, \\ \frac{7}{(z - 6)(z - 4)} &= \frac{7/2}{z - 6} + \frac{-7/2}{z - 4}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the partial fraction decomposition

$$R_A(z) = \frac{1}{z - 6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{(z - 6)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{z - 4} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this we obtain

$$P_6 = \text{Res}(R_A(z), 6) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$P_4 = \text{Res}(R_A(z), 4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We check the four properties of Theorem 12.4.2.

The matrices P_6 and P_4 are idempotent:

$$P_6^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = P_6,$$

and

$$P_4^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = P_4.$$

The matrices P_6 and P_4 are independent:

$$P_6 P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

and

$$P_4 P_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

The matrices P_6 and P_4 commute with A :

$$P_6 A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & -7 \\ 0 & 6 & 21 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A P_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & -7 \\ 0 & 6 & 21 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_4 A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & -14 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$A P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & -14 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrices P_6 and P_4 are complete: they sum to I .

Does $6P_6 + 4P_4 = A$ equal? No because

$$6P_6 + 4P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \neq A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This should not be surprising since A is not diagonalizable.

But notice that

$$A - 6P_6 - 4P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Have we seen this matrix before? Yes, we saw it in the resolvent,

$$R_A(z) = \frac{1}{z-6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{(z-6)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{z-4} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

the coefficient matrix of the $(z-6)^{-2}$ term. Is this just a coincidence? We shall see.

Example (in lieu of 12.4.5). Find the spectral projections for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall that we computed the resolvent of this matrix in Lecture #33, where we obtained

$$\det(zI - A) = (z-2)^3(z-5).$$

and

$$\text{adj}(zI - A) = \begin{bmatrix} (z-2)^2(z-5) & (z-2)(z-5) & z-5 & 3 \\ 0 & (z-2)^2(z-5) & (z-2)(z-5) & 3(z-2) \\ 0 & 0 & (z-2)^2(z-5) & 3(z-2)^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (z-2)^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The resolvent is thus

$$R_A(z) = \begin{bmatrix} (z-2)^{-1} & (z-2)^{-2} & (z-2)^{-3} & 3(z-2)^{-3}(z-5)^{-1} \\ 0 & (z-2)^{-1} & (z-2)^{-2} & 3(z-2)^{-2}(z-5)^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & (z-2)^{-1} & 3(z-2)^{-1}(z-5)^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (z-5)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the partial fraction decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{(z-2)^3(z-5)} &= \frac{-1/9}{z-2} + \frac{-1/3}{(z-2)^2} + \frac{-1}{(z-2)^3} + \frac{1/9}{z-5}, \\ \frac{3}{(z-2)^2(z-5)} &= \frac{-1/3}{z-2} + \frac{-1}{(z-2)^2} + \frac{1/3}{z-5}, \\ \frac{3}{(z-2)(z-5)} &= \frac{-1}{z-2} + \frac{1}{z-5}, \end{aligned}$$

we compute

$$P_2 = \text{Res}(R_A(z), 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$P_5 = \text{Res}(R_A(z), 5) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We check the four properties of Theorem 12.4.2 for the matrices P_2 and P_5 .

The matrices P_2 and P_5 are idempotent:

$$P_2^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = P_2,$$

and

$$P_5^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = P_5.$$

The matrices P_2 and P_5 are independent:

$$P_2 P_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

and

$$P_5 P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

The matrices P_2 and P_5 commute with A :

$$AP_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & -5/9 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & -5/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_2 A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & -5/9 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & -5/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$AP_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 5/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$P_5A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 5/9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrices P_2 and P_5 are complete: they sum to I .

Since A is not diagonalizable, the sum $2P_2 + 5P_5$ does not equal A . Something else is needed that comes from the resolvent $R_A(z)$, that will be fully explored in the next two sections.

Before that we learn how to represent the holomorphic image of a matrix in terms of a contour integral and the resolvent, and some of its consequences.

Theorem 12.4.6 (Spectral Resolution Theorem). For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, if the power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

has a radius of convergence $b > r(A)$, then for any positively oriented simple closed contour Γ containing $\sigma(A)$ and contained within the disk $B(0, b_0)$ for some $b_0 \in (b, r(A))$, there holds

$$f(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} f(z) R_A(z) dz.$$

Proof. WLOG we can assume that Γ is the circle centered at 0 with radius b_0 .

Using the Laurent series for $R_A(z)$ on $|z| > r(A)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} f(z) R_A(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{z^k} dz.$$

Since $f(z)/z$ is bounded on Γ and the summation converges uniformly on compact sets, the sum and integral can be interchanged to give

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} f(z) R_A(z) dz = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+1}} dz \right].$$

By Cauchy's Differentiation formula we have

$$a_k = \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+1}} dz.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} f(z) R_A(z) dz = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k A^k = f(A).$$

This give the result. □

Corollary 12.4.7. The spectral radius of $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies

$$r(A) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}.$$

Proof. We saw in Lecture #33 that $r(A) \geq \sigma_M = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ as a consequence of the Laurent series of $R_A(z)$ (see Theorems 12.3.8 and 12.3.14).

To get $r(A) = \sigma_M$ it suffices to show that $r(A) \leq \sigma_M + \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

To this end, let Γ be a positive oriented circle centered at 0 with radius $\sigma_M + \epsilon$.

Thus for $z \in \Gamma$ we have $|z| = \sigma_M + \epsilon$.

The contour Γ is compact and the resolvent $R_A(z)$ is continuous on Γ so that for any matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$K = \sup\{\|R_A(z)\| : z \in \Gamma\} < \infty.$$

By the Spectral Resolution formula applied to the entire $f(z) = z^n$, we have

$$A^n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} z^n R_A(z) dz.$$

Applying the matrix norm to this gives

$$\|A^n\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} (\sigma_M + \epsilon)^n K \oint_{\Gamma} |dz| \leq K (\sigma_M + \epsilon)^{n+1}$$

since the arclength of Γ is $2\pi(\sigma_M + \epsilon)$.

This gives

$$r(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|^{1/n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K^{1/n} (\sigma_M + \epsilon)^{1+1/n} = \sigma_M + \epsilon.$$

This gives the result. □

Corollary 12.4.8 (Cayley-Hamilton Theorem). For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, let $p(z) = \det(zI - A)$, the characteristic polynomial of A . Then $p(A) = 0$.

Proof. Let Γ be a simple closed contour containing $\sigma(A)$.

By the Spectral Resolution formula applied to the entire function $p(z)$ we have

$$p(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} p(z) R_A(z) dz.$$

By Cramer's Rule,

$$R_A(z) = \frac{1}{\det(zI - A)} \text{adj}(zI - A) = \frac{1}{p(z)} \text{adj}(zI - A).$$

Thus

$$p(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} p(z) \frac{1}{p(z)} \text{adj}(zI - A) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \text{adj}(zI - A) dz.$$

Each entry of the adjugate of $zI - A$ is a polynomial in z , and hence the $\text{adj}(zI - A)$ is entire.

By the Cauchy-Goursat Theorem we obtain $p(A) = 0$. □

Example (in lieu of 12.4.10). We verify the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of A is

$$p(z) = (z - 3)(z + 1) = z^2 - 2z - 3.$$

Checking we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 - 2A - 3I &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 8 & 5 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 8 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$