

# ON THE EXISTENCE OF UNIVERSAL COVERING SPACES FOR METRIC SPACES AND SUBSETS OF THE EUCLIDEAN PLANE

G.R. CONNER AND J.W. LAMOREAUX

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove several results concerning the existence of universal covering spaces for separable metric spaces. To begin, we define several homotopy theoretic conditions which we then prove are equivalent to the existence of a universal covering space. We use these equivalencies to prove that every connected, locally path connected separable metric space whose fundamental group is a free group admits a universal covering space. As an application of these results, we prove the main result of this article which states that a connected, locally path connected subset of the Euclidean plane,  $\mathbb{E}^2$ , admits a universal covering space if and only if its fundamental group is free, if and only if its fundamental group is countable.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Hawaiian earring, the one-point compactification of a countably infinite set of disjoint open intervals, is one of the standard examples in homotopy theory since it is a one-dimensional, planar set which does not admit a universal covering space and whose fundamental group is uncountable and not a free group. Guided by this example, it is natural to ask what relationships exist between the properties of freedom and countability of the fundamental group of a space and existence of universal covering spaces for path connected spaces. In this article we explore these relationships, and find that, for example, (Theorem 2.6) a connected, locally path connected, separable metric space which has a free fundamental group admits a universal covering space and that furthermore (Theorem 3.1) a connected, locally path connected subset of the Euclidean plane admits a universal cover if and only if it has a free fundamental group.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E05, 20F32, 55Q52, 55Q05, 57M05, 57M10, 57N05; Secondary 54C55, 54E35, 55M15.

*Key words and phrases.* planar, free group, fundamental group, covering space, metrizable.

In [CF], Curtis and Fort show that any compact connected, locally path connected one-dimensional metric space is either semilocally simply connected, in which case it has a fundamental group which is free of countable rank, or has an uncountable fundamental group. In [CC] this is generalized in several ways. In particular, it is shown there that if  $X$  a connected, locally path connected, one-dimensional separable metric space then  $X$  has a free fundamental group if and only if  $X$  has a countable fundamental group, if and only if  $X$  is locally simply connected, if and only if  $X$  has a universal cover. In the current article we prove a similar theorem, replacing the hypotheses that the space be one-dimensional and separable by the hypothesis that it be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

1.1. We will now discuss the notations of the current article. The common thread connecting the approach of [CC] with that of the current article is that planar sets and one-dimensional spaces share the property of being *homotopically Hausdorff*. This is a strong condition, which does not hold for subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , as we shall see below.

**Definition 1.1** (homotopically Hausdorff). A space  $X$  is *homotopically Hausdorff at*  $x_0 \in X$  if for any essential closed curve,  $c$ , based at  $x_0$  there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  so that  $c$  is not homotopic (rel endpoints) to a curve lying entirely in  $U$ .  $X$  is said to be *homotopically Hausdorff* if it is homotopically Hausdorff at each of its points.

The property of being homotopically Hausdorff intuitively says that loops in the space can be separated from the trivial loop by an open set. This intuition can be made rigorous by noting that the space of homotopy classes of curves in  $X$  emanating from  $x_0$ , sometimes denoted  $\Omega(X, x_0)$ , is Hausdorff at  $x_0$  if and only if  $X$  is homotopically Hausdorff at  $x_0$ .

*Example 1.2.* Define the *Hawaiian earring* as the union  $H = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_i$  of planar circles,  $c_i$ , tangent to the  $x$ -axis at the origin of radius  $1/i$ . Articles by Higman [H], Griffiths [G] and Morgan and Morrison [MM] explore the fundamental groups of such “weak joins”. Since  $H$  is one-dimensional, it is homotopically Hausdorff (see [CC]). Let  $X$  be the cone over  $H$ , namely  $H \times [0, 1]/H \times \{1\}$ . Let  $x = ((0, 0), 0)$  denote the basepoint of  $X$ . Let  $X_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$  be two copies of  $X$  with basepoints  $x_i$ . Let  $Y = X_1 \cup X_2 / \{x_1 = x_2\}$  be their amalgamated union (see Figure 1). It is shown in [CC] that  $Y$  is a compact, connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which is not homotopically Hausdorff, and whose fundamental group is uncountable. Another interesting feature of the space  $Y$  is that it is

a union of two contractible spaces along one point, but it is not itself contractible.

FIGURE 1. The doubled cone over the Hawaiian earring

**Definition 1.3.** If  $i : X \rightarrow Y$  is an embedding of one path connected space into another then we say that  $X$  is a  $\pi$ -retract of  $Y$  if there exists a homomorphism  $r : \pi(Y) \rightarrow \pi(X)$  so that the composition  $ri_* : \pi(X) \rightarrow \pi(X)$  is an isomorphism. We say that  $r$  is a  $\pi$ -retraction for  $X$  in  $Y$ . Note that  $\pi(X)$  is indeed a group theoretic retract of  $\pi(Y)$ . We define a  $\pi$ -retract to be *tight* if it induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups. Similarly we say that  $X$  is a *neighborhood  $\pi$ -retract* of  $Y$  if  $X$  is a  $\pi$ -retract of one of its open neighborhoods in  $Y$ .

The above notion of  $\pi$ -retract is designed to be an analog of the notion of topological *retract*, where the property that a retract have a corresponding continuous retraction is replaced by the property that the fundamental group of a  $\pi$ -retract have an analogous group theoretic retraction. To carry the analogy further, we now introduce a generalization of the notion of an *ANR* (an *absolute neighborhood retract*). Recall that a separable metric space is an *ANR* if it is a neighborhood retract of every separable metric space containing it as a closed subspace.

**Definition 1.4.** A separable metric space,  $X$ , is said to be a *AN $\pi$ R* (or an *absolute neighborhood  $\pi$ -retract*) if whenever  $X$  is a subspace of a separable metric space  $Y$  then  $X$  is a neighborhood  $\pi$ -retract in  $Y$ . Note that we do not require that  $X$  be a closed subset of  $Y$ .

We resist the temptation to define the analog of the notion of an AR (absolute retract) since this would merely correspond to the class of all simply connected, locally path connected, separable metric spaces.

1.2. The following is an outline of the results proven in this article. In section 2 we prove:

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $X$  is a connected, locally path connected, separable metric space then the following are equivalent:

1.  $X$  admits a universal covering space.
2.  $X$  is homotopically Hausdorff and  $\pi(X)$  is countable.
3.  $X$  is an AN $\pi$ R.
4.  $X$  is a tight  $\pi$ -retract of a Hilbert cube manifold.

Using the tools of [CC] along with the previous result and a number of nerve theoretic lemmas from appendix A we show:

**Theorem 2.6.** If  $X$  is a connected, locally path connected separable metric space with a fundamental group which is a free group then  $X$  admits a universal covering space.

In section 3 using standard techniques of planar topology we prove:

**Theorem 3.4.** Every subset of  $\mathbb{E}^2$  is homotopically Hausdorff.

This combined with the previous results allows us to deduce the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $X$  is a connected, locally path connected, subset of  $\mathbb{E}^2$  then the following are equivalent:

1.  $X$  admits a universal cover.
2.  $X$  is locally simply connected.
3. The fundamental group of  $X$  is countable.
4. The fundamental group of  $X$  is a free group.

The following result is needed in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Its proof constitutes section 4.

**Theorem 4.1.** If  $X$  is a locally connected separable metric space and  $\tilde{X}$  is a covering space for  $X$  then  $\tilde{X}$  is metrizable. Furthermore if  $\tilde{X}$  is connected, then it is separable.

Finally in appendix A we prove a number of nerve theoretic, and planar topological lemmas needed in various parts of the paper.

1.3. We now mention recent work in the field which is related to the current article in order of decreasing generality.

In [S], Shelah proves that a connected, locally path connected, compact metric space has a fundamental group which is either finitely generated or is uncountable. In [CC], Cannon and Conner show that the fundamental group of a connected, locally path connected, separable one-dimensional metric space is a free group if and only if it is countable if and only if the space has a universal cover if and only if the space is locally simply connected. The main result, Theorem 3.1, in the current article is the analogous result for subsets of the plane. In [Z], A. Zastrow gives an argument that any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  has trivial higher homotopy. In [E], Eda shows that if  $Y$  is a subspace of a line in  $\mathbb{E}^2$  then the fundamental group of  $E^2 - Y$  is a subgroup of the Hawaiian Earring group, and is, in addition, isomorphic to the Hawaiian Earring

group if and only if  $Y$  has infinitely many components of  $Y$  which converge to a point which is not in  $Y$ . In [dS], deSmit uses the approaches of [H], [G], and [MM] to give an elementary proof that the fundamental group of  $H$  is not a free group.

## 2. FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS OF METRIC SPACES

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $X$  is a connected, locally path connected, separable metric space then the following are equivalent :*

1.  $X$  admits a universal covering space.
2.  $X$  is homotopically Hausdorff and  $\pi(X)$  is countable.
3.  $X$  is an AN $\pi$ R.
4.  $X$  is a tight  $\pi$ -retract of a Hilbert cube manifold.

That (1) and (3) are equivalent is not surprising since a connected, locally path connected space has a universal cover if and only if it is  $\mathbf{LC}^1$  (or, in another notation, semilocally simply connected) and there is a well-developed theory of ANR-like extensions of maps from spaces of prescribed dimension into spaces with  $\mathbf{LC}^n$  properties (for instance, see van Mill [vM]). However, we are unaware of results in the literature which would imply the equivalence of (1) and (3).

*Proof.* We will first prove that (1) and (2) are equivalent and then show that (1) implies (3) implies (4) which, in turn, implies (1). We will state a number of the more interesting implications as lemmas.

The following result proves that (1) implies (2).

**Lemma 2.2.** *The fundamental group of a path connected, locally connected, separable metric space which admits a universal cover is countable.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a topological space satisfying the hypothesis,  $\tilde{X}$  be its universal cover and  $f$  be the corresponding covering map. Fix  $x_0 \in X$ . We assume by way of contradiction that the fundamental group of  $X$  is uncountable. Since the preimages under  $f$  of  $x_0$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of  $\pi(X, x_0)$ ,  $A = f^{-1}(x_0)$  is an uncountable subset of  $\tilde{X}$ . Since  $\tilde{X}$  is a separable metric space by Theorem 4.1, there is an element of  $A$  which is a limit point of  $A$ . However since  $f$  is a covering map there is an open set  $B$  in  $X$  containing  $x_0$  whose inverse image under  $f$  is a disjoint collection of open sets each one mapped homeomorphically onto  $B$  by  $f$ . Thus  $A$  cannot contain a limit point of itself.  $\square$

Recall that  $X$  will admit a universal cover if and only if it is *semilocally simply connected*. That (2) implies (1) follows immediately from the following result.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $X$  is a topological space which is first countable, homotopically Hausdorff but is not semilocally simply connected, then  $\pi(X)$  is uncountable.*

*Proof.* Assume  $X$  is as in the hypothesis, fix  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $X$  is not semilocally simply connected at  $x_0$ , and choose  $(B_i)$  to be a countable local basis for  $X$  at  $x_0$ .

Since  $X$  is not simply connected, we may choose  $p_1 : I \rightarrow X$  to be an essential loop based at  $x_0$ . Let  $S_0 = \{1_\pi\}$ . Since  $X$  is homotopically Hausdorff we may choose  $U_1$  a neighborhood of  $x_0$  contained in  $B_1$  which does not contain a loop based at  $x_0$  homotopic to  $p_1$ .

We will inductively define  $(U_i)$  a sequence of neighborhoods of  $x_0$ , and  $(p_i)$  a sequence of loops based at  $x_0$  so that the image of each  $p_i$  is contained in  $U_i$  and such that each  $U_i$  is a subset of  $B_i$ . We set  $\pi = \pi(X, x_0)$ , and let  $\pi_i$  denote the image of  $\pi(U_i, x_0)$  in  $\pi$  under the map induced by inclusion. If  $p_j$  is defined for  $j < i$ , we make the following definitions:

1. If  $\alpha$  is a subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, i-1\}$ , define  $w_\alpha$  to be  $1_\pi$  if  $\alpha = \emptyset$  and otherwise to be  $[p_{\alpha_1}] \circ [p_{\alpha_2}] \circ \dots \circ [p_{\alpha_k}]$  where  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  and  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_k$ . For example  $w_{\{1\}} = [p_1]$ .

2. Define  $S_{i-1}$  to be the set whose elements have the form  $w_\alpha \circ w_\beta^{-1}$  or  $w_\alpha^{-1} \circ w_\beta$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, i-1\}$ . For example,  $S_1 = \{1_\pi, [p_1], [p_1]^{-1}\}$ .

As part of the induction hypothesis we shall assume that we have chosen  $(p_j)$  and  $(U_j)$  so that  $w_\alpha = w_\beta$  only if  $\alpha = \beta$ , and so that  $S_{i-2} \cap \pi_{i-1} = \{1_\pi\}$ .

Assume inductively that  $p_{i-1}$  and  $U_{i-1}$  have been defined. Since  $S_{i-1}$  is finite and  $X$  is homotopically Hausdorff, we may choose a neighborhood  $U_i$  about  $x_0$  such that  $U_i \subset U_{i-1} \cap B_i$  and such that  $S_{i-1} \cap \pi_i = \{1_\pi\}$ . Since  $X$  is not semilocally simply connected at  $x_0$  we may choose  $p_i : I \rightarrow X$  to be an essential loop based at  $x_0$  such that  $[p_i]$  lies in  $\pi_i$ .

Now suppose  $\alpha, \beta \subset \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$  and  $w_\alpha = w_\beta$ . Then  $p_{\alpha_1} \circ p_{\alpha_2} \circ \dots \circ p_{\alpha_k} \approx p_{\beta_1} \circ p_{\beta_2} \circ \dots \circ p_{\beta_l}$ . If  $\alpha_k \neq i$  then  $\beta_l \neq i$ , since otherwise  $p_i \approx p_{\beta_l} \approx (p_{\beta_1} \circ \dots \circ p_{\beta_{l-1}})^{-1} \circ (p_{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ p_{\alpha_k})$  and so  $p_i$  would be homotopic to an element of  $S_{i-1}$ . Thus  $w_\alpha, w_\beta \in S_{i-1}$  and by the inductive hypothesis  $\alpha = \beta$ . On the other hand, if  $\alpha_k = \beta_l = i$  then  $p_{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ p_{\alpha_{k-1}} \circ p_i \approx p_{\beta_1} \circ \dots \circ p_{\beta_{l-1}} \circ p_i$  implies that  $p_{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ p_{\alpha_{k-1}} \approx p_{\beta_1} \circ \dots \circ p_{\beta_{l-1}}$  and

since each side is an element in  $S_{i-1}$  we have  $\alpha - \{i\} = \beta - \{i\}$ , thus  $\alpha = \beta$ . Hence the inductive step is complete.

Now for each subset,  $\alpha$ , of the natural numbers, we define  $w_\alpha = [p_{\alpha_1} \circ p_{\alpha_2} \circ \dots] = [\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{\alpha_i}]$ . Since  $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i = \{x_0\}$ , it follows that  $w_\alpha$  is a well defined element of  $\pi$ . Suppose  $w_\alpha = w_\beta$  for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  two distinct subsets of the natural numbers. Let  $i$  be the least element of  $(\alpha - \beta) \cup (\beta - \alpha)$  and, without loss of generality, assume  $i$  is an element of  $\alpha$ . Now,  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k = \beta_k$ , and  $i = \alpha_{k+1} < \beta_{k+1}$ . We let  $Q = p_{\alpha_1} \circ p_{\alpha_2} \circ \dots \circ p_{\alpha_k} = p_{\beta_1} \circ p_{\beta_2} \circ \dots \circ p_{\beta_k}$  and note that  $w_\alpha = [Q \circ p_i \circ Q_1]$  and  $w_\beta = [Q \circ Q_2]$  where  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  can be chosen to be closed curves based at  $x_0$  lying in  $U_{i+1}$ . Then  $w_\alpha = w_\beta$  implies that  $Q \circ p_i \circ Q_1 \approx Q \circ Q_2$  and thus  $p_i \approx Q_2 \circ Q_1^{-1}$ . However,  $p_i$  is not homotopic to a curve based at  $x_0$  lying in  $U_{i+1}$  yielding a contradiction.

Hence we have an injective map  $f$  from the set of subsets of the natural numbers,  $\wp(\mathbb{N})$ , to  $\pi$  given by a  $\alpha \mapsto w_\alpha$ , whence  $\pi$  has cardinality no smaller than that of the continuum.  $\square$

We will now prove that (1) implies (3).

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $X$  is a connected, locally path connected, separable metric space which admits a universal covering space then  $X$  is an AN $\pi$ R.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be embedded in the separable metric space  $Y$  and let  $\widehat{U}$  be a neighborhood of  $X$  in  $Y$ . Since  $X$  is semilocally simply connected we may choose a cover  $C'$  of  $X$  by open sets whose images in the fundamental group of  $X$  are trivial and are each contained in  $\widehat{U}$ . Using Lemma A.3, we choose a locally finite open refinement  $C$  whose elements are path connected and such that  $\pi(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\pi(N(C))$ . By Lemma A.1, we may choose a collection,  $\widehat{C}$ , of open sets in  $\widehat{U} \subseteq Y$  which cover  $X$  and which is compatible with  $C$  in the sense that the elements of  $c$  are in one to one correspondence with those of  $\widehat{C}$  in such a way that  $c = \widehat{c} \cap X$  for each  $c$  in  $C$  and so that any finite collection,  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ , of elements of  $C$  have a common point of intersection if and only if the corresponding elements of  $\widehat{C}$ ,  $\widehat{c}_1, \dots, \widehat{c}_n$ , have a common intersection. Clearly  $N(\widehat{C})$  and  $N(C)$ , the nerves of  $\widehat{C}$  and  $C$  respectively, are naturally isomorphic.

Let  $U = \bigcup_{\widehat{c} \in \widehat{C}} \widehat{c}$  and  $i : X \rightarrow U$  be the inclusion map. Now, we choose a partition of unity  $\widehat{F} = \{f_{\widehat{c}}\}$  for the cover  $\widehat{C}$ . For each  $c \in C$  let  $f_c = f_{\widehat{c}}|_c$ . Clearly  $F = \{f_c\}$  is a partition of unity corresponding to the cover  $C$  of  $X$ . Let  $\widehat{p} : U \rightarrow N(\widehat{C})$  and  $p : X \rightarrow N(C)$  be the maps induced by  $\widehat{F}$  and  $F$  respectively, and  $q : N(\widehat{C}) \rightarrow N(C)$  be the obvious isomorphism. By construction,  $p = q \circ \widehat{p} \circ i$ , however, by

Lemma A.3 the induced map  $p_* : \pi(X) \rightarrow \pi(N(C))$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $(p_*)^{-1} \circ (q \circ \widehat{p})_*$  is a  $\pi$ -retraction for  $X$  in  $U$ .  $\square$

To show that (3) implies (4), suppose  $X$  is an AN $\pi$ R. Since every separable metric space embeds in the Hilbert cube, we may choose an open set,  $U$ , in the Hilbert cube so that  $X$  is a  $\pi$ -retract of  $U$ . Fix  $x_0 \in X$ . Since  $U$  is an open set in the Hilbert cube, it is semilocally simply connected. Thus, by elementary covering space theory (see [M] for instance), there is a covering space  $\widehat{U}$  and a covering map  $c : (\widehat{U}, \widehat{x}_0) \rightarrow (U, x_0)$  so that  $c_*(\pi(\widehat{U}, \widehat{x}_0)) = \pi(X, x_0)$ . A standard result in covering space theory (again [M] is a good reference), states that  $i$  lifts to a map  $\widehat{i} : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (\widehat{U}, \widehat{x}_0)$  so that  $i = c \circ \widehat{i}$ . Now, since  $i$  is an embedding, so is  $\widehat{i}$ . By the choice of  $\widehat{U}$ , it is evident that  $X$  is a tight  $\pi$ -retract of  $\widehat{U}$ . Finally,  $\widehat{U}$  is a Hilbert cube manifold since it is a covering space of an open set in the Hilbert cube.

Finally, (4) implies (1) since any connected  $\pi$ -retract of a semilocally simply connected space (in this case a Hilbert cube manifold) is itself semilocally simply connected and thus admits a universal cover if it is connected and locally path connected.  $\square$

To prove the next result we will need to use one of the main tools of [CC].

**Theorem 2.5.** [CC, Theorem 4.4] *Let  $X$  be a topological space, let  $f : \pi(X, x_0) \rightarrow L$  be a homomorphism to the group  $L$ ,  $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \dots$  be a countable local basis for  $X$  at  $x_0$ , and  $G_i$  be the image of the natural map of  $\pi(U_i, x_0)$  into  $\pi(X, x_0)$ . Then*

1. *If  $L$  is countable then the sequence  $f(G_1) \supseteq f(G_2) \supseteq \dots$  is eventually constant.*
2. *If  $L$  is abelian with no infinitely divisible elements then  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f(G_i) = \{0_L\}$ .*
3. *If  $L$  is countable abelian with no infinitely divisible elements then  $f(G_i) = \{0_L\}$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

In [CC] it is shown that if  $X$  is a second countable, locally path connected metric space with a free abelian fundamental group then  $X$  has a universal cover. We will use the previous theorem to prove a similar result for free groups which shows that

**Theorem 2.6.** *If  $X$  is a connected, locally path connected separable metric space with a fundamental group which is a free group then  $X$  admits a universal covering space.*

*Proof.* First we apply [CC, Theorem 5.1] which states that any free factor group of the fundamental group of a second countable, connected, locally path connected metric space has countable rank. Thus  $\pi(X)$  is countable.

Let  $x_0$  be a point in  $X$ . Let  $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \dots$  be a countable local basis for  $X$ , and  $g_i$  be the natural map of  $\pi(U_i, x_0)$  into  $\pi(X, x_0)$ , and let  $G_i$  be the image of  $g_i$ .

Let  $C_0 = \pi(X, x_0)$ ,  $C_1 = [C_0, C_0], \dots, C_{i+1} = [C_i, C_i], \dots$  be the standard commutator chain for  $\pi(X, x_0)$ . Since  $\pi(X, x_0)$  is abelian, each group  $C_i/C_{i+1}$  is a free abelian group. Finally, let  $f_n : \pi(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi(X, x_0)/C_n$  be the natural homomorphism. Then by Theorem 2.5, the intersections of the images of the  $G_i$ 's under  $f_1$  is eventually trivial,  $f_1(G_{i_1}) = \{0\}$ , for some  $i_1$ . However  $f_1(G_{i_1}) = G_{i_1}C_1/C_1$ . Whence  $G_{i_1} \leq C_1$ . Then  $f_2g_{i_1} : \pi(U_{i_1}, x_0) \rightarrow C_1/C_2$ . Applying Theorem 2.5 again, we get that there is a  $G_{i_2}$  which lies in  $C_2$ . By induction, we get that for each  $j$  there is a  $G_{i_j}$  which lies in  $C_j$ . However, since  $\pi(X, x_0)$  is a free group,  $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} C_j = \{1\}$  and thus  $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} G_j = \{1\}$ . This implies that  $X$  is homotopically Hausdorff at  $x_0$ . Since  $x_0$  was generic,  $X$  is homotopically Hausdorff.

Finally, we have that  $X$  is homotopically Hausdorff and has countable fundamental group and so may apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain that  $X$  admits a universal covering space.  $\square$

### 3. FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS OF PLANAR SETS

In this section we apply the results of the previous section to sets in the Euclidean plane to get the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $X$  is a connected, locally path connected, subset of  $\mathbb{E}^2$  then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $X$  admits a universal cover.
2.  $X$  is locally simply connected.
3. The fundamental group of  $X$  is countable.
4. The fundamental group of  $X$  is a free group.

This theorem is related to theorem 5.9 in [CC] where it is shown that a second countable, connected, locally path connected, one-dimensional metric space has a universal cover if and only if it is locally simply connected if and only if it has a countable fundamental group if and only if it has a free fundamental group. Thus, we have replaced the hypothesis of being second countable and one-dimensional by the hypothesis of being a planar set and have obtained the same conclusion.

*Proof.* Theorem 2.6 shows that (4) implies (1). Thus to show that (1) and (4) are equivalent, we need only show that if  $X$  admits a universal cover then the fundamental group of  $X$  is a free group. By Theorem 2.1 we have the  $X$  is an AN $\pi$ R. Thus the fundamental group of  $X$  embeds in the fundamental group of an open set in the plane. Since such an open set is a noncompact 2-manifold, it has a fundamental group which is a free group. Since subgroups of free groups are free, the fundamental group of  $X$  is a free group.

We need the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}^2$  and  $N$  a closed disk in  $\mathbb{E}^2$  whose boundary is not contained in  $X$ . If  $l_1, l_2$  are loops in  $X \cap \text{int}(N)$  based at  $x_0$  which are homotopic in  $X$  then there is a homotopy  $F$  between  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  whose image is contained in  $X \cap N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  denote the boundary of  $N$ ,  $A$  denote the interior of  $N$ , and  $p \in C \setminus X$ . Let  $G$  be a homotopy (in  $X$  rel  $x_0$ ) between  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ . Let  $D$  be the component of  $I^2 - G^{-1}(C)$  that contains  $(0, 0)$  in its boundary. Let  $B$  be the set of boundary components of  $D$  except for the boundary of the square (the component containing  $(0, 0)$ ). Since  $l_1 \cup l_2 \subset A$  and  $I^2$  is compact it follows that  $\cup B$  is closed and  $G(\cup B)$  is a closed subset of  $C \cap X$ . Given that  $p \in C$  it follows that each component of  $G(\cup B)$  is homeomorphic to a closed interval in the real numbers or is a point. If  $w$  is a component of  $G(\cup B)$ , let  $D_w$  be the component of  $I^2 - (G^{-1}(w) \cap (\cup B))$  that contains  $(0, 0)$ .  $G^{-1}(w) \cap (\cup B)$  is a closed subset of  $I^2 - D_w$  hence by the Tietze extension theorem the map  $G$ , restricted to  $G^{-1}(w) \cap (\cup B)$ , can be extended to a continuous map  $G_w$  of  $I^2 - D_w$  onto  $w$ . Note that if  $G^{-1}(w)$  is not a separating set for  $I^2$  then this is just the map  $G$ . Define  $F$  to be  $G$  on the closure of  $D$  and to be  $G_w$  on each  $I^2 - D_w$  where  $w$  ranges over all components of  $G(\cup B)$ . That  $F$  is well-defined follows from Lemma A.7 The only overlaps occur at points of  $\cup B$  where  $G = G_w$  and everywhere that  $F$  differs from  $G$  the image of  $F$  is an element of  $G(\cup B)$ , hence in  $X \cap C$ . Also note the image of  $F$  lies in  $A \cup C$ .

To show that  $F$  is continuous consider a point  $q$  in  $I^2$ . If  $q \in I^2 - \cup B$ , then continuity follows either from the continuity of  $G$  or from one particular  $G_w$ . If  $q \in \cup B$ , let  $w$  be the component of  $G(\cup B)$  containing  $F(q)$ . If  $F(q)$  is not an end point of  $w$  then a combination of the maps  $G$  and  $G_w$  is used to show  $F$  is continuous at  $q$ . If  $F(q)$  is an end point of  $w$  then given an open set  $O$  containing  $F(q)$  there exists an open subset  $N \subset O$  containing  $F(q)$  such that any component  $w'$  of  $G(\cup B)$ ,  $w' \neq w$ , which intersects  $N$  is a subset of  $O$ . Continuity follows using

$N$  together with the continuity of  $G$  and  $G_w$ . Thus  $F$  is the desired homotopy.  $\square$

Since  $X$  is connected and locally path connected, (2) obviously implies (1). The next result proves that (1) implies (2).

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{E}^2$  which is locally path connected and semilocally simply connected then  $X$  is locally simply connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be a point in  $X$ . If  $x_0$  is in the interior of  $X$ , then clearly  $X$  is locally simply connected at  $x_0$ . Otherwise, choose a path connected neighborhood  $O$  of  $x_0$  in  $\mathbb{E}^2$  so that any loop in  $O \cap X$  based at  $x_0$  is nullhomotopic in  $X$ . We now choose a round closed Euclidean disk  $N$  contained in  $O$  about  $x_0$  whose boundary is not contained in  $X$  (if this were impossible then  $X$  would contain a round disk about  $x_0$  and thus  $x_0$  would be interior to  $X$ .) Since  $X$  is locally path connected,  $S$ , the path component of  $\text{int}(N) \cap X$  containing  $x_0$ , is open in  $X$ . We will show that  $S$  is simply connected.

Applying the previous result we have that any loop in  $S$  is nullhomotopic in  $N \cap X$  by a nullhomotopy  $F$ . However we need to show that any such loop is actually nullhomotopic in  $S$ . Suppose  $l$  is a loop in  $S$  based at  $x_0$ . We have two cases.

*Case 1:* If there is round closed subdisk  $N'$  of the interior of  $N$  which contains  $l$  so that the boundary of  $N'$  is not contained in  $X$  then we may apply the above argument to show that  $l$  is nullhomotopic in  $N' \cap X$  and thus in  $S$ .

*Case 2:* There is a circle  $C$  in  $S$  which separates  $l$  from the boundary of  $S$ . In this case we project any images of  $F$  which are separated from  $x_0$  by  $C$  radially onto  $C$ , obtaining a new nullhomotopy whose image lies entirely inside  $S$ .  $\square$

The next result and Theorem 2.1 together show that  $X$  admits a universal cover if and only if the fundamental group of  $X$  is countable, and thus (1) and (3) are equivalent.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Every subset of  $\mathbb{E}^2$  is homotopically Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X \subset \mathbb{E}^2$ . Let  $l_0$  be a loop in  $X$  based at  $x_0$  so that given any open set  $U$  containing  $x_0$ ,  $l_0$  is homotopic (in  $X$  rel  $x_0$ ) to a loop lying entirely in  $U$ . If  $x_0$  is interior to  $X$  then  $l_0$  is homotopic to a loop whose image lies in an open set  $U \subseteq X$  which is homeomorphic to a Euclidean disk and thus  $l_0$  is nullhomotopic.

If  $x_0$  is not interior to  $X$  then there is a sequence of points in  $\mathbb{E}^2 - X$  which converges to  $x_0$ . If this is the case, let  $p_0$  be a point in  $\mathbb{E}^2 - X$  and

for each natural number  $n$  pick a point  $p_n$  in  $\mathbb{E}^2 - X$  so that distance between  $p_n$  and  $x_0$  is no more than the minimum of  $1/n$  and one-half the distance between  $p_{n-1}$  and  $x_0$  (i.e.  $p_n \in B_{x_0}(\min(1/n, d(x_0, p_{n-1}))) \cap (\mathbb{E}^2 - X)$ .) Let  $\epsilon_n = d(x_0, p_n)$  and choose a loop  $l_n \subset B_{x_0}(\epsilon_n)$  based at  $x_0$  which is homotopic to  $l_0$  (and hence to  $l_{n-1}$ .) Note that  $l_{n-1} \cup l_n \subset B_{x_0}(\epsilon_{n-1})$  and that the boundary of  $B_{x_0}(\epsilon_{n-1})$  is a simple closed curve containing the point  $p_n$ . Applying lemma 3.2, we may choose a homotopy  $F_n$  between  $l_n$  and  $l_{n-1}$  so that  $F_n|_{I \times 1}$  is  $l_n$ ,  $F_n|_{I \times 0}$  is  $l_{n-1}$  and the image of  $F_n$  is contained in the closure of  $B_{x_0}(\epsilon_{n-1})$ . We sequentially adjoin the homotopies  $F_i$  to form a homotopy  $F$  by defining  $F(x, y) = F_n(x, 2^{n+1}y - 1)$  when  $2^{-(n+1)} \leq y \leq 2^{-n}$ , and  $F(x, 0) = x_0$ . We claim that  $F$  is continuous.

*Case 1:* If  $(x, y) \in I^2$  and  $y > 0$  then continuity at  $(x, y)$  follows from the continuity of at most two of the functions  $F_{n-1}$  and  $F_n$ .

*Case 2:* If  $(x, y) \in I^2$  and  $y = 0$  then  $F(x, y) = x_0$ . Given any  $\epsilon > 0$  we may choose a  $k$  so that  $\epsilon_k < \epsilon$ . Now, for any  $n > k$ , the image of  $F_n$  is contained in  $B_{x_0}(\epsilon_n)$  and thus is a subset of  $B_{x_0}(\epsilon_k)$ . It follows any point in  $B_{(x,y)}(2^{-(k+1)})$  would map to a point within  $\epsilon_k$  and hence within  $\epsilon$  of  $x_0$ .

Thus the loop  $l_0$  is nullhomotopic and thus the set  $X$  is homotopically Hausdorff.  $\square$

Thus we have completed the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

#### 4. METRIZATION OF COVERING SPACES

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $X$  is a locally connected separable metric space and  $\tilde{X}$  is a covering space for  $X$  then  $\tilde{X}$  is metrizable. Furthermore if  $\tilde{X}$  is connected, then it is separable.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are as above and  $f(x)$  is a covering map. Because  $\tilde{X}$  is a covering space, for each point  $p$  of  $X$  we pick an open set  $B_p$  containing  $p$  such that  $f^{-1}(B_p)$  could be thought of as a collection  $F_p$  of disjoint open sets each of which is homeomorphic to  $B_p$  using  $f(x)$  restricted to that open set. For each  $p$  we choose such a collection  $F_p$  and since  $X$  is regular an open set  $C_p$  containing  $p$  whose closure is a subset of  $B_p$ . Since  $X$  is a locally connected separable metric space,  $X$  has a countable basis,  $D$ , such that each element is a connected open set. Also since if  $C$  is an open covering of  $X$  and  $D$  is a basis for  $X$  then  $\{g \in D \mid \exists c \in C \text{ such that } g \subset c\}$  is a basis for  $X$ , we may choose a countable basis  $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$  for  $X$  such that each  $G_i$  is connected and a subset of  $C_p$  for some point  $p$  of  $X$ .

For each  $n$  pick a point  $p$  such that  $G_n \subset C_p$ , and let

$$L_n = \{y \cap f^{-1}(G_n) \mid y \in F_p\}.$$

Bing has shown ([B, Theorem 3]), that a regular topological space,  $X$ , is metrizable if and only if it has a perfect screening which we will now define.

**Definition 4.2** (Perfect screening). A *perfect screening* of a topological space  $X$  is a countable collection  $\{L_1, L_2, \dots\}$  of sets each of which is a *discrete* collection of open sets in  $X$  so that  $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} L_i$  is a basis for  $X$ . Here a *discrete* collection means one for which the following holds: every point in  $X$  is contained in an open neighborhood which intersects at most one of the elements of the collection.

Since regularity is a local property and  $X$  is regular,  $\tilde{X}$  is regular. Thus to finish the proof we need only check that  $\{L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots\}$  is a perfect screening ( or in other terminology a  $\sigma$ -discrete basis) for  $\tilde{X}$ .

Given an  $n$  and a point  $q \in \tilde{X}$ , if  $f(q)$  is not an element of the closure of  $G_n$  pick an open set  $D$  in  $X$  containing  $q$  which does not intersect the closure of  $G_n$ , then  $f^{-1}(D)$  is an open set which does not intersect any element of  $L_n$  and contains the point  $q$ . If  $f(q)$  is in the closure of  $G_n$ , then  $f(q) \in C_p$  and  $q$  is an element of only one element  $y$  of  $F_p$  and  $y$  is an open set intersecting only one element of  $L_n$ . Thus  $L_n$  is a discrete collection of open sets.

Given any open set  $D \subset \tilde{X}$  and a point  $q \in D$ . Let  $C$  be the unique element of  $F_{f(q)}$  which contains  $q$ . Note that  $f(D \cap C)$  is an open set containing  $f(q)$ , hence there exists an  $n$  such that  $f(q) \in G_n \subset f(D \cap C)$ . Since  $f$  restricted to  $C$  is a homeomorphism and  $G_n$  is a subset of  $f(C)$  then  $f^{-1}(G_n) \cap C = g$  and  $f$  is a homeomorphism between  $g$  and  $G_n$ . If  $p$  is the point associated with  $G_n$ , then  $F_p$  is a collection of disjoint open sets and since  $g$  is connected it intersects only one of the elements of  $F_p$  and hence is an element of  $L_n$ . Thus  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n$  is a basis and  $\{L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots\}$  is a perfect screening.

Now we will assume  $\tilde{X}$  is connected. The covering map is a local homeomorphism. Since  $X$  is separable it follows that  $\tilde{X}$  is locally separable. Since a locally separable connected metric space is separable,  $\tilde{X}$  is a separable metric space.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A.

**Lemma A.1.** *If  $U$  is an open cover of the space  $X$  and  $X$  is a subspace of the metric space  $Y$ , then there exists a collection,  $U' = \{u' \mid u' \cap X = u, u \in U\}$ , of open sets in  $Y$  in one to one correspondence with the*

elements of  $U$  so that any finite collection  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  have a common point of intersection if and only if the corresponding elements of  $U'$ ,  $\{u'_1, \dots, u'_n\}$  have a common point. It follows that the nerves of  $U$  and  $U'$  are naturally isomorphic.

*Proof.* For each  $u \in U$  pick an open set  $O_u$  in  $Y$  such that  $u = O_u \cap X$ . For each  $a \in u$  pick a real number  $\delta_a$  such that  $N_Y(a, 2\delta_a) \subset O_u$ . Let  $u' = \cup_{a \in u} N_Y(a, \delta_a)$  and  $U' = \{u' \mid u \in U\}$ . We show that  $U'$  has the desired properties. If  $s \in \cap_{i=1}^k u'_i$  then, by construction, for  $u'_i$  there exists  $a_i \in u_i$  such that  $s \in \cap_{i=1}^k N(a_i, \delta_{a_i})$ . Without loss of generality assume  $\delta_{a_k} = \min(\delta_{a_1}, \dots, \delta_{a_k})$ . For each  $i$ ,  $a_k \in N(a_i, 2\delta_{a_i})$  and thus  $a_k \in O_{u_i}$ , but by definition  $a_k \in X$  so  $a_k \in u_i$ . Hence  $a_k \in \cap_{i=1}^k u_i$ .  $\square$

**Definition A.2.** If  $X$  is a topological space and  $C$  is a locally finite open cover of  $X$  then a *partition of unity corresponding to  $C$*  is a collection of non-negative real valued functions  $\{f_c\}_{c \in C}$  on  $X$  so that the support of  $f_c$  is contained in  $c$  for each  $c \in C$  and so that  $\sum_{c \in C} f(c)$  is the constant function with value 1. Given such a cover and a corresponding partition of unity we get an induced map from  $X$  to  $N(C)$  the nerve of the open cover  $C$  in the following way : for each point in  $X$ , let  $C_x = \{c \in C \mid x \in c\}$ , and map  $x$  into the simplex corresponding to  $C_x$  ( such a simplex obviously exists since  $x$  is in the intersection of the  $C_x$ 's) by using the values  $\{f_c(x) \mid c \in C_x\}$  as barycentric coordinates.

**Lemma A.3.** *If  $X$  is a connected, locally path connected separable metric space then  $X$  has a universal covering space if and only if whenever  $C'$  is an open cover of  $X$ , there is a locally finite refinement  $C$  of  $C'$  by path connected open sets so that given any corresponding partition of unity, the induced map from  $X$  to  $N(C)$ , the nerve of  $C$ , induces an isomorphism between fundamental groups, furthermore if  $X$  is compact then  $C$  may be chosen to be finite.*

*Proof.* Clearly if  $X$  has such a cover  $C$  then it follows that  $X$  is semilocally simply connected since any closed curve contained in any element of the open cover must be mapped into the open star of a vertex and thus maps trivially into the fundamental group of  $N(C)$  and so is trivial in  $\pi(X)$ . Conversely, we assume that  $X$  has a universal cover. Let  $C'$  be a cover of  $X$  by elementary neighborhoods (i.e., open sets whose images in the fundamental group are trivial). Since  $X$  is a separable metric space we can construct a cover,  $C$ , of  $X$  by connected open sets which is a star-refinement of  $C'$  (i.e. given any element  $c$  of  $C$  there is an element of  $C'$  which contains every element of  $C$  which intersects  $c$ ), and which is locally finite (and finite in the case that  $X$  is compact).

We remark that any closed curve which is contained in the union of two elements of  $C$  is nullhomotopic in  $X$ . In [Ca], Cannon denotes such

covers as *two-set simple*. There, he shows that if  $X$  is a connected, locally path connected, separable metric space and  $C$  is a two-set simple cover by connected open sets then the fundamental group of  $X$  is isomorphic to the fundamental group of  $N(C)$ . Although our statement is somewhat more general than that of Cannon, his proof suffices and we refer the reader to that article.  $\square$

**Lemma A.4.** *Let  $D$  be a connected subset of a connected locally connected space  $S$  and  $E$  be a component of  $S - D$ , then  $D$  and  $E$  are not mutually separated.*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is connected, either:

*Case 1:*  $S - E$  contains a limit point  $p$  of  $E$ . We claim in this case that  $p \in D$ . If not then  $E \cup \{p\}$  is a connected subset of  $S - D$ , contradicting that  $E$  is a component of  $S - D$ .

or

*Case 2:*  $E$  contains a limit point  $p$  of  $S - E$ . We claim in this case that  $p$  is a limit point of  $D$ . If  $p$  is not a limit point of  $D$ , there exists an open set  $O$  containing  $p$  but no points of  $D$ . Since  $S$  is locally connected there exists a connected open set  $N$  such that  $p \in N \subset O$ .  $E \cup N$  is a connected subset of  $S - D$  contradicting that  $E$  is a component of  $S - D$ .  $\square$

**Lemma A.5.** *Let  $D$  be a connected subset of a connected locally connected space  $S$  and  $E$  be a component of  $S - D$ , then  $E$  does not separate  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Assume by way of contradiction that  $E$  separates  $S$ . Let  $H$  and  $K$  be mutually separated sets whose union is  $S - E$ . Since  $D$  is a connected subset of  $S - E$  it is a subset of either  $H$  or  $K$ , we will assume  $D \subset H$ . If  $p \in S - E \cup D$  then  $p$  is an element of some component  $F$  of  $S - D$ , hence by Lemma A.4,  $D \cup F$  is connected and is a subset of  $H$ . Thus  $K = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma A.6.** *If  $D$  is as in the proof of lemma 3.2 and  $E$  is any component of  $I^2 - D$ , then the boundary of  $E$  is connected.*

*Proof.* Assume that the boundary of  $E$  is not connected, then it is the union of two mutually separated sets  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Since  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are compact there exists a positive distance  $\delta$  between them. Cover  $B_1$  with a finite number of neighborhoods of diameter  $\delta/3$ , then there exists a simple closed curve  $\gamma$  in the compliment of  $B_1 \cup B_2$  made up of segments of the circles bounding the neighborhoods which separates a part of  $B_1$  from  $B_2$  in  $\mathbb{E}^2$ . Since  $D$  and  $E$  are connected they each intersect  $\gamma$ . Hence if  $x \in E \cap \gamma$  and  $y \in D \cap \gamma$  and  $xy$  is

a subarc of  $\gamma$  from  $x$  to  $y$  then the supremum of the set  $\{z \in xy \mid \text{the subarc } xz \text{ of } xy \text{ is a subset of } E\}$  is a boundary point of  $E$ , which is a contradiction. Note we are making strong use of the Jordan Curve Theorem since this result is not true on a torus.  $\square$

**Lemma A.7.** *If  $D$  is as in the proof of lemma 3.2 and  $p$  is any point of  $I^2 - D$ , then exactly one of the boundary components of  $D$  has the property that it either separates  $p$  from  $(0, 0)$  in  $I^2$  or contains  $p$ .*

*Proof.* If  $E$  is the component of  $I^2 - D$  containing  $p$ , then by lemma A.6 its boundary is connected and, from Lemma A.4 case 2, is a subset of the boundary of  $D$ . Thus the boundary of  $E$  is a subset of some boundary component of  $D$ . Note that this result is required to show that the function  $F$  in lemma 3.2 is well-defined.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [B] R.H. Bing, *Metrization of Topological Spaces*, Can. J. Math. **3**,(1951) 175–186.
- [Ca] J.W. Cannon, *Geometric Group Theory*, preprint.
- [CC] J.W. Cannon, G.R. Conner, *On the Fundamental Groups of One Dimensional Spaces*, preprint (1997), Brigham Young University.
- [CF] M.L. Curtis, M.K. Fort, *The fundamental group of one-dimensional spaces*, Proc. AMS, 10(1959), 140-148.
- [dS] B. deSmit, *The fundamental group of the Hawaiian earring is not free.*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 2, no. 1, 33–37 (1992).
- [E] K. Eda, *Free  $\sigma$ -Products and Fundamental Groups of Subspaces of the Plane*, Topology Appl. **84** (1998), no. 1-3, 283–306.
- [G] H.B. Griffiths, *Infinite Products of Semi-groups and Local Connectivity*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 455-456 (1956).
- [H] G. Higman, *Unrestricted Free Products, and Varieties of Topological Groups*, Journ. London Math. Soc. 27, 73–81 (1952).
- [M] W.S. Massey, *An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*, Springer Verlag.
- [MM] J.W. Morgan, I. Morrison, *A Van Kampen Theorem for Weak Joins*, Proc. London Math. Soc, 53, #3, 562–576 (1986).
- [S] S. Shelah, *Can The Fundamental (Homotopy) Group of a Space be the Rationals ?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 103, number 2, (1988) 627–632.
- [vM] J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology Prerequisites and Introduction*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 43 (1988).
- [Z] A. Zastrow, *All subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are  $K(\pi, 1)$* , preprint.

*E-mail address:* conner@math.byu.edu

*URL:* www.math.byu.edu/~conner

*E-mail address:* jack@math.byu.edu

MATH DEPARTMENT, BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY, PROVO, UT. 84602