Minor wording issue: In the construction and proof of Example 2.2, when we say "the only idempotent in that coset is 0 ", we should have included the word "possible" before "idempotent". The point is that the only idempotent whose entries all have positive 2 -adic valuation is 0 , but 0 doesn't actually live in the given coset (as is made clear in the following sentences).

