

Theoretical Concepts.

Continuous IBVP :
$$L u(\bar{x}) = F, + BC + IC. \quad (1)$$

Finite diffce Scheme :
$$L_{\Delta} U_i^n = G_i^n \quad (2)$$

Def: (Convergence)

A FDM (2) approximating a cont. init. bound. value prob. (1) is a convergent scheme at time t (fixed) $0 \leq t \leq T$ in a particular grid function norm $\|\cdot\|$ if

$$\|\vec{u}^n - \vec{v}^n\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \begin{matrix} n \rightarrow \infty, & \Delta t \rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta x \rightarrow 0, & n \Delta t \rightarrow t \leq T \end{matrix}$$

Remark: Δx and Δt may not be independent as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ and $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$.

Consider the IBVP:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \sigma u_{xx}, & 0 \leq x \leq 1, t > 0 & (3) \\ u(0,t) = \phi(t), & u(1,t) = \psi(t) & (4) \\ u(x,0) = f(x) & & (5) \end{cases}$$

and the numerical scheme FT-CS.

$$U_i^{n+1} = r U_{i-1}^n + (1-2r) U_i^n + r U_{i+1}^n, \quad i=1, \dots, m \quad (6)$$

$$U_0^n = h(t_n) = h_n, \quad U_m^n = g(t_n) = g_n, \quad n=1, 2, \dots, T \quad (7)$$

$$U_i^0 = f(x_i) = f_i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m \quad (8)$$

Thm. - The ^{solution of the} finite difference scheme (6)-(8) converges in the $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$ to the solution of the IBVP (3)-(5) if

$$r = \frac{\sigma \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. - Substitution of the exact soln. $u(x, t)$ into (6)

$$U_i^{n+1} = r U_{i-1}^n + (1-2r) U_i^n + r U_{i+1}^n + \underbrace{\Delta t \left[\frac{\Delta t}{2} (u_{tt})_i^{n+\theta} - \frac{\sigma \Delta x^2}{12} (u_{xxxx})_{i+\xi}^n \right]}_{= -\Delta t \tau_i^n} \quad (9)$$

Subtracting (6) from (9)

$$\boxed{e_i^{n+1} = r e_{i-1}^n + (1-2r) e_i^n + r e_{i+1}^n - \Delta t \tau_i^n} \quad (10)$$

$i=1, 2, \dots, m$

Applying triangular inequality

$$|e_i^{n+1}| \leq r |e_{i-1}^n| + |1-2r| |e_i^n| + r |e_{i+1}^n| + \Delta t |\tau_i^n|$$

Since $r \leq \frac{1}{2}$

then $|e_i^{n+1}| \leq r |e_{i-1}^n| + (1-2r) |e_i^n| + r |e_{i+1}^n| + \Delta t |\tau_i^n|$ (11)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{using } \|\cdot\|_\infty &\leq r \|\tilde{e}^n\|_\infty + (1-2r) \|\tilde{e}^n\|_\infty + r \|\tilde{e}^n\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \Delta t \|\tilde{\tau}^n\|_\infty \\ &= \|\tilde{e}^n\|_\infty + \Delta t \|\tilde{\tau}^n\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \|\tilde{e}^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \|\tilde{e}^n\|_\infty + \Delta t \|\tilde{\tau}^n\|_\infty$$

Iterating over n .

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{e}^{n+1}\|_\infty &\leq \|\tilde{e}^{n-1}\|_\infty + \Delta t (\|\tilde{\tau}^n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{\tau}^{n-1}\|_\infty) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \|\tilde{e}^0\|_\infty + \Delta t (\|\tilde{\tau}^n\|_\infty + \dots + \|\tilde{\tau}^0\|_\infty) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\tilde{e}^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq n \Delta t \tau \leq T \tau$$

Where $\tau \equiv \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|\tilde{\tau}^j\|_\infty$

Defining

$$H \equiv \max_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq T \\ 0 \leq x \leq 1}} |u_{ttt}| \quad \text{and} \quad P \equiv \max_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq T \\ 0 \leq x \leq 1}} |u_{xxxx}|$$

then
$$\|\tilde{e}^{n+1}\|_{\infty} \leq \tau \left[\frac{\Delta t}{2} H - \frac{\sigma \Delta x^2}{12} P \right] \xrightarrow{\substack{\Delta t \rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta x \rightarrow 0 \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} 0$$

Remarks: 1) Δt and Δx both tend to zero and also satisfy the condition $r \leq 1/2$ or
$$\boxed{\Delta t \leq \frac{1}{2\sigma} (\Delta x)^2}$$

2) The inequality (11) is different for $i=1$ and $i=m$.

In fact,
$$|e_1^{n+1}| \leq r |e_0^{n+1}| + (1-2r) |e_1^n| + r |e_2^n| + \Delta t |\tau_1^n| \quad (13)$$

$$|e_m^{n+1}| \leq r |e_{m-1}^{n+1}| + (1-2r) |e_m^n| + r |e_{m+1}^{n+1}| \quad (14)$$

where $|e_0^n| = 0$ and $|e_{m+1}^n| = 0$ assuming there is not round-off errors in the comput. of the BCs.

In both cases

$$\textcircled{13} \text{ and } \textcircled{14} \Rightarrow \|e_i\| \leq (1-r) \|\tilde{e}^n\|_{\infty} + \Delta t \|\tilde{\tau}^n\|_{\infty}$$

$$\text{for } i=1 \text{ or } m \leq \|\tilde{e}^n\| + \Delta t \|\tilde{\tau}^n\|_{\infty}$$

The FDM studied so far can be represented by a linear system as

$$\boxed{\vec{U}^{n+1} = L_{\Delta} \vec{U}^n + \vec{f}^n} \quad (5.1)$$

I) For instance, FT-CS. for IBVP (3)-(5).

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_1^{n+1} \\ U_2^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ U_m^{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1-2r & r & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ r & 1-2r & r & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & r & 1-2r & r & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & r & 1-2r & r \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & r & 1-2r & r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_1^n \\ \vdots \\ U_m^n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} +\frac{r}{h} f_0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ r g_{m+1} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \vec{f}^n$$

$\equiv L_{\Delta}$

II) Crank-Nicholson

$$A^h \vec{U}^{n+1} = B^h \vec{U}^n + \vec{C}^n \Rightarrow \vec{U}^{n+1} = (A^h)^{-1} B^h \vec{U}^n + (A^h)^{-1} \vec{C}^n$$

$$\text{or} \quad \vec{U}^{n+1} = L_{\Delta} \vec{U}^n + \vec{f}^n$$

$$\text{where} \quad L_{\Delta} \equiv (A^h)^{-1} B^h \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{f}^n \equiv (A^h)^{-1} \vec{C}^n$$

Consider

- 1) Homogeneous initial value problems (continuous)
- 2) Same FDM apply to two different IVP.

$$\begin{cases} \vec{U}^{n+1} = L_{\Delta} \vec{U}^n, & \vec{U}^0 = \phi \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} \vec{V}^{n+1} = L_{\Delta} \vec{V}^n, & \vec{V}^0 = \psi \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

Def. - A finite diff scheme (6.1) is stable if

there exists $C > 0$, such that

$$\|\vec{U}^n - \vec{V}^n\| \leq C \|\vec{U}^0 - \vec{V}^0\|, \quad \begin{matrix} \Delta x \rightarrow 0 \\ \Delta t \rightarrow 0 \\ n\Delta t \leq T \\ n \rightarrow \infty \end{matrix}$$

Remark: 1) C is uniform does not depend on $\Delta x, \Delta t, \vec{U}^0$ or \vec{V}^0 .

2) C may be greater than 1.

