

**Ph.D. Qualifying Exam in Analysis Feb. 2000**

Work at least 7 problems from Real analysis and 3 from complex analysis. Clear explanation is required at all stages of each answer. You may quote standard theorems other than the one you may be trying to prove.

**Real analysis questions**

1. Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a sequence of measurable sets with the property that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) < \infty.$$

Let  $S = \{\omega \in \Omega \text{ such that } \omega \in E_i \text{ for infinitely many values of } i\}$ . Show  $\mu(S) = 0$  and  $S$  is measurable.

2. Let  $f_n, f$  be measurable functions with values in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We say that  $f_n$  converges in measure if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x \in \Omega : |f(x) - f_n(x)| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$$

for each fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Prove the theorem of F. Riesz. If  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  in measure, then there exists a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  which converges to  $f$  a.e. **Hint:** Choose  $n_1$  such that

$$\mu(x : |f(x) - f_{n_1}(x)| \geq 1) < 1/2.$$

Choose  $n_2 > n_1$  such that

$$\mu(x : |f(x) - f_{n_2}(x)| \geq 1/2) < 1/2^2,$$

$n_3 > n_2$  such that

$$\mu(x : |f(x) - f_{n_3}(x)| \geq 1/3) < 1/2^3,$$

etc. Now consider what it means for  $f_{n_k}(x)$  to fail to converge to  $f(x)$ . Use appropriate theorems to prove this.

3. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq L^1(\Omega)$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is uniformly integrable if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$

$$\left| \int_E f d\mu \right| < \varepsilon \text{ whenever } \mu(E) < \delta.$$

Show that  $|\mathfrak{S}| \equiv \{|f| : f \in \mathfrak{S}\}$  is uniformly integrable if  $\mathfrak{S}$  is. Also show that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is uniformly integrable if  $\mathfrak{S}$  is finite.

4. The theorem of de la Vallee Poussin states that if  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a family of measurable functions defined on a finite measure space,  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and there exists a function,  $g$ , which is positive and increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty$  and

$$\sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |f| g(|f|) d\mu : f \in \mathfrak{S} \right\} < \infty,$$

then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a uniformly integrable subset of  $L^1(\Omega)$  having

$$\sup \left\{ \int |f(\omega)| d\mu : f \in \mathfrak{S} \right\} < \infty. \tag{0.1}$$

Prove this theorem.

5. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and suppose  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  has the property that whenever  $\mu(E) > 0$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\mu(E)} \left| \int_E f d\mu \right| \leq C.$$

Show  $|f(\omega)| \leq C$  a.e.

6. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and suppose  $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  are measurable. Prove the sets

$$\{\omega : f(\omega) < g(\omega)\} \text{ and } \{\omega : f(\omega) = g(\omega)\}$$

are measurable.

7. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of real or complex valued measurable functions. Let

$$S = \{\omega : \{f_n(\omega)\} \text{ converges}\}.$$

Show  $S$  is measurable.

8. Let  $m(W) > 0$ ,  $W$  is measurable,  $W \subseteq [a, b]$ . Show, using the axiom of choice, there exists a nonmeasurable subset of  $W$ . **Hint:** Let  $x \sim y$  if  $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Observe that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of equivalence classes. Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{C \cap W : C \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } C \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ . If  $C \cap W \in \mathcal{D}$ , pick exactly one element of  $C \cap W$ . Denote by  $A$  the collection of these points.

9. Show that if  $g$  is Borel measurable and  $f$  is measurable, then  $g \circ f$  is also measurable. Give an example of a Lebesgue measurable function,  $f$  and a continuous function  $g$  such that  $f \circ g$  is not Lebesgue measurable. **Hint:** The second part of this can be accomplished through the use of that strange function based on the Cantor set which is continuous, climbs from 0 to 1 and yet has its derivative equal to zero on the complement of the Cantor set. Call this function,  $h$ . Then consider  $g_1(x) = h(x) + x$ . Show  $g_1$  maps the Cantor set into a set having positive measure and then use the theorem which says there is a nonmeasurable subset of this set. Now consider  $g \equiv g_1^{-1}$ , a continuous function along with  $f \equiv \mathcal{X}_S \circ g_1$ .

10. If  $S$  is an uncountable set of irrational numbers, is it necessary that  $S$  has a rational number as a limit point? **Hint:** Consider the proof that a countable set of numbers has measure zero when applied to the rational numbers.

### Complex analysis questions

- It is desired to find an analytic function,  $L(z)$  defined for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $e^{L(z)} = z$ . Is this possible? Explain why or why not.
- If  $f$  is analytic, show that  $z \rightarrow \overline{f(\bar{z})}$  is also analytic.
- Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be analytic and  $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ . Show  $u, v$  and  $uv$  are all harmonic although it can happen that  $u^2$  is not. Recall that a function,  $w$  is harmonic if  $w_{xx} + w_{yy} = 0$ .
- Suppose that for some constants  $a, b \neq 0, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(z + ib) = f(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f(z + a) = f(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $f$  is analytic, show that  $f$  must be constant. Can you generalize this? **Hint:** This uses Liouville's theorem.
- Suppose  $f$  is an entire function and that  $f$  has the property that whenever we write  $f(z)$  as a power series expanded about a point  $w$ , it follows that at least one of the coefficients in the power series must equal zero. Show that  $f$  must be a polynomial. **Hint:** Define a set,  $A_n$  to be the points,  $w$  such that if  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - w)^k$ , it follows  $a_n = 0$ . Thus  $A_n$  consists of the points where the power series of  $f$  centered at these points has the  $n$ th coefficient equal to zero. Argue that some  $A_n$  is uncountable and therefore has a limit point.
- We say a real valued function,  $u$  is subharmonic if  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} \geq 0$ . Show that if  $u$  is subharmonic on a bounded region, (open connected set)  $U$ , and continuous on  $\bar{U}$  and  $u \leq m$  on  $\partial U$ , then  $u \leq m$  on  $U$ . State and prove a theorem about the uniqueness of the solutions to the equation,  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$  in  $U$  and  $u = f$  on  $\partial U$ . **Hint for the first part:** If not,  $u$  achieves its maximum at  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$ . Let  $u(x_0, y_0) > m + \delta$  where  $\delta > 0$ . Now consider  $u_\varepsilon(x, y) = \varepsilon x^2 + u(x, y)$  where  $\varepsilon$  is small enough that  $0 < \varepsilon x^2 < \delta$  for all  $(x, y) \in U$ . Show that  $u_\varepsilon$  also achieves its maximum at some point of  $U$  and that therefore,  $u_{\varepsilon xx} + u_{\varepsilon yy} \leq 0$  at that point implying that  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} \leq -\varepsilon$ , a contradiction.